

PORTLAND, OR 97204

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/716,719 11/19/2003 Randall J. Huebner ACM 353 6328 EXAMINER 23581 07/27/2004 7590 KOLISCH HARTWELL, P.C. BONDERER, DAVID A 520 S.W. YAMHILL STREET PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT SUITE 200

> 3732 DATE MAILED: 07/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\sigma$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/716,719	HUEBNER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	D. Austin Bonderer	3732
The MAILING DATE of this communication and the second section is a second se	ation appears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statused in the second of the	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ication.  days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC il. by statute, cause the application to become be	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		8 - 7
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 19 November 2003.	
• —	)⊠ This action is non-final.	
Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice.	r allowance except for formal ma	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the		. houston Francisco
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		
Applicant may not request that any object		ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)
<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority d</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority d</li> </ul>	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in f the priority documents have bee al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	A) 🗖 Intension	w Summary (PTO-413)
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948) Paper N	lo(s)/Mail Date
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F Paper No(s)/Mail Date		of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Page 2

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-24 and 39, drawn to bone plate, classified in class 606, subclass 61.
- II. Claims 25-38, drawn to a method of inserting, classified in class 128, subclass 898.

  The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case process can be used to insert known and generic bone plates.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A, figs. 1-6;

Species B, figs. 7-8;

Species C, figs. 9;

Species D, fig. 10;

Species E, figs. 11-14;

Species F, figs. 15 and 16;

Application/Control Number: 10/716,719

Art Unit: 3732

Species H, figs. 17-19.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims appear generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. A telephone call was made to J. Abney on 7-22-04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in contact being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

Art Unit: 3732

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Austin Bonderer whose telephone number is 703.306.5911. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P Shaver can be reached on 703.308.2582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

dab

Todd E. Manahan Primary Examinar